

# Security Protocols and Application — Final Exam

## Solution

F. Betül Durak and Serge Vaudenay

30.6.2022

- duration: 2h00
- no document allowed
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- the exam invigilators will not answer any technical question during the exam
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade
- do not forget to put your name on every sheet!

*The exam grade follows a linear scale. In each exercise, each question has the same weight. Both exercises have the same weight.*

### 1 Methodology for Efficient CNN Architectures in Profiling Attacks

*This exercise is inspired from Zaid-Bossuet-Habrand-Venelli, Methodology for Efficient CNN Architectures in Profiling Attacks, TCHES 2020, IACR, <https://tches.iacr.org/index.php/TCHES/article/view/8391>.*

**Q.1** Power analysis is being widely studied. There are two common methods which are used to defeat them (but which do not seem sufficient to defeat the CNN-based attack). Which ones have been mentioned in the presentation?

*Desynchronization and masking.*

**Q.2** What is the purpose of the chosen-coup pizza correlation attack?

*Learn which country is of interest for the Pentagon.*

**Q.3** Since power is correlated to Hamming weight of processed data, why not focusing on  $x_i \oplus K$  instead of  $S(x_i \oplus K)$ ?

*This is to make similar  $K$  candidates having non-similar power traces. Otherwise, we would find  $K$  up to small differences.*

**Q.4** The presentation showed an attack methodology based on CNN. What is the input and output of the attack? Can we output something better? How?

*Input: the traces from first layer of Sbox. Output: possible value(s) for the first byte of the secret key of AES. If we iterate the attack, we can recover the other bytes of AES key.*

**Q.5** State two important assumptions in the threat model?

*The encryption device is under the control of the attacker whereas the input data are known (i.e. not chosen).*

## 2 Model-Checking 5G Security and 5G SUCI

*This exercise is inspired from Basin-Dreier-Hirschi-Radomirović-Sasse-Stettler, Model-Checking 5G Security and 5G SUCI, CCS 2018, ACM, <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3243734.3243846>.*

**Q.1** How could someone spot Russian subscribers in Lausanne?

*Like with IMSI catchers, except that we focus on home network identifier  $\text{HN}_{\text{name}}$  which is sent in clear.*

**Q.2** What is the order of magnitude of the number of mobile internet subscribers in the world?

*5 billions.*

**Q.3** What is Tamarin?

*A security protocol verification tool (also: a kind of monkey).*

**Q.4** SUCI is the encryption of the unique identifier in 5G. Why can't we identify user equipments based on SUCI as we did in 4G with IMSI?

*It uses probabilistic public key encryption and randomized every time.*

**Q.5** What is the needed security property in 5G to avoid the traceability attack?

*Freshness of SUCI.*