

# Cryptography and Security — Final Exam

Serge Vaudenay

22.1.2014

- duration: 3h00
- no document is allowed except one two-sided sheet
- a pocket calculator is allowed
- communication devices are not allowed
- the exam invigilators will *not* answer any technical question during the exam
- the answers to each exercise must be provided on separate sheets
- readability and style of writing will be part of the grade
- do not forget to put your name on every sheet!

## 1 AES Arithmetics

We consider all polynomials in terms of  $x$ , where  $x$  is a solution to  $z^8 + z^4 + z^3 + z + 1 = 0$ , with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . This is supposed to define  $\text{GF}(2^8)$ . For convenience, each element is represented in hexadecimal by a number whose binary expansion lists the binary coefficients of the monomials from the one of highest degree to the one of lowest degree. For instance, `0xa3` represents  $x^7 + x^5 + x + 1$ .

- Q.1** Compute `0x95 + 0x54`.  
**Q.2** Compute `0x3c × 0x18`.  
**Q.3** Compute  $(0x02)^{-1}$ .  
**Q.4** Show that  $z^{255} = 1$  for all  $z \neq 0$  in  $\text{GF}(2^8)$ .  
**Q.5** Compute  $(0x02)^{254}$ .  
**Q.6** Give the hexadecimal representation of at least four solutions (including  $x$ ) to  $z^8 + z^4 + z^3 + z + 1 = 0$ .  
HINT: squaring is a linear operation!  
**Q.7** We recall that the trace function is defined by

$$\text{tr}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^7 z^{2^i}$$

Based on the previous question, compute  $\text{tr}(x)$ .

## 2 Distribution of Birthdays

This semester, we had  $M = 81$  registered students. We assume their birthdays are *a priori* uniformly distributed and independent, in a calendar of  $N = 365$  days. (Indeed, no student is born on a February 29). In what follows, the *a priori* probabilities refers to the situation *before* we look at the actual birthdays (which we will do in Q.5).

- Q.1** What was the *a priori* probability that a given student is born on a January 22?

- Q.2** What is the *a priori* probability that your right neighbor shares with you the same birthday? (Consider the left neighbor if you have no right neighbor.)
- Q.3** For a given student, what is the *a priori* probability that there are *exactly* two others students in the class sharing the same birthday with him?
- Q.4** What was the *a priori* expected number of unordered pairs of different students with the same birthday? Do the same for unordered triplets of students.  
HINT: the number of pairs of students with the same birthday is

$$\sum_{\text{pair}} 1_{\text{the students in pair share the same birthday}}$$

- Q.5** We observed
- 3 students are born on a March 2,
  - 3 students are born on a May 5,
  - 3 students are born on a July 4,
  - 2 students are born on a April 14,
  - 2 students are born on a May 9,
  - 2 students are born on a June 2,
  - 2 students are born on a June 13,
  - 2 students are born on a August 5,
  - 2 students are born on a November 1,
  - 60 students have a unique birthday.

From the observation, how many unordered pairs of different students have the same birthday? Do the same for unordered triplets of students. How to explain the discrepancy?

- Q.6** If each student independently selects a numeric PIN code of fixed length with uniform distribution, what is the minimal length (in digits) of the PIN code so that the probability of having two students selecting the same PIN code is lower than 1%?

### 3 (Non-Uniform) Attack on P256

In this exercise, we consider the elliptic curve P256 defined with order  $n$  and a generator  $G$ . The integer  $n$  is a prime number of 256 bits. Given a point  $Q$  of the curve, we want to find  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_n$  such that  $Q = xG$ .

*Note that this exercise is not specific to P256 but could apply to any cyclic group (with additive notations) of order  $n$ .*

We let  $H$  be a random function from the curve to  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  and define  $w(P) = P + H(P)G$  for a point  $P$  of the curve. Given a point  $P_0$ , the sequence defined by  $P_i = w(P_{i-1})$  for  $i > 0$  is called a *random walk* starting from  $P_0$ . We also consider a random Boolean function  $D$ . A point  $P$  such that  $D(P) = 1$  is called a *distinguished point*. We assume that for all points  $P$  and  $P'$ , all random variables  $H(P)$  and  $D(P')$  are independent,  $H(P)$  is uniformly distributed in the curve, and  $\Pr[D(P') = 1] = \frac{1}{t}$ .

We consider the following algorithm defined by the parameters  $t$  and  $m$ , where the curve is assumed to be hard coded:

Precomp:

- 1: clear the list  $L$
- 2: **for**  $i = 1$  to  $m$  **do**
- 3:   pick  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n$  at random and set  $P_0 = aG$

```

4:  compute the random walk  $P_0, \dots, P_{\ell-1}$  starting from  $P_0$  until either it loops (i.e.,  $P_{\ell-1} \in \{P_0, \dots, P_{\ell-2}\}$ ) or it reaches a distinguished point (i.e.,  $D(P_{\ell-1}) = 1$ )
5:  if the random walk loops then
6:    abort
7:  end if
8:  if not already there, insert  $P_{\ell-1}$  and its logarithm in the list  $L$ 
9: end for
10: output  $L$ 

```

(In the abort case, we can just restart with new functions  $H$  and  $D$ .)

We also consider the following algorithm where the curve and the list  $L$  from **Precomp** are assumed to be hard coded:

**Dlog**( $Q$ ):

```

1: loop
2:  pick  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n$  at random and set  $P_0 = aG + Q$ 
3:  compute the random walk  $P_0, \dots, P_{\ell-1}$  until it loops or it reaches a distinguished point  $P_{\ell-1}$ 
4:  if the random walk did not loop then
5:    if there exists  $(P_{\ell-1}, b) \in L$  then
6:      stop
7:    end if
8:  end if
9: end loop

```

**Q.1** Show that in the **Precomp** algorithm, every point  $P_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell-1$  has an easy-to-compute discrete logarithm.

**Q.2** How to slightly modify the algorithm **Dlog** so that when it stops, it gives the discrete logarithm of  $Q$ ?

**Q.3** (Number of iterations.)

We assume that **Dlog** never finds a looping random walk (i.e., the condition in Step 4 is always *true*). We further assume that **Precomp** visited at least  $k \frac{n}{t}$  points (for some value  $k$ , where  $t$  is the parameter of the algorithm). Show that the expected number of iterations of the loop in **Dlog** (Steps 2–8) is at most  $1 + \frac{1}{k}$ .

HINT: observe that the random walk can be described by the following process: we pick a point at random and distinguish the events that  $A$ : the point is already visited,  $B$ : the point is not already visited but distinguished,  $C$ : the point is not already visited nor distinguished. In the case of  $A$ , the random walk continues until a visited distinguished point and succeed. In the case of  $B$ , the random walk failed and the algorithm iterate. In the case of  $C$ , the random walk continues with a new point. No matter the stage of the random walk,  $\Pr[A]/\Pr[B]$  is constant and at least  $k$ .

**Q.4** (No long walk.)

Given  $c > 1$ , we let  $\lambda = \lceil ct \ln n \rceil$ . A *long walk* is a random walk  $P_0, \dots, P_{\lambda-1}$  which has no distinguished point. Show that the probability that there exists a long walk is bounded by  $n^{1-c}$ .

HINT: show that for each  $P_0$ , the probability that  $P_0, \dots, P_{\lambda-1}$  is a long walk is bounded by  $n^{-c}$ .

HINT:  $\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \leq -\frac{1}{t}$  for  $t > 1$ .

In what follows, we take  $c = 2$  and we assume there exists no path of length  $\lambda$  with no distinguished point.

**Q.5** (No abort.)

In a given iteration of the algorithm, show that the probability that the random walk loops is bounded by  $\frac{t^2}{n}$ . Deduce that for  $mt^2 \leq \frac{n}{2}$  (where  $t$  and  $m$  are the parameters of the algorithms), the algorithm Precomp aborts with a probability bounded by  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

HINT:  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\ell-1} \frac{\ell}{n} = \frac{t^2}{n}$

**Q.6** (Many visited points.)

Show that every time the algorithm computes a new point (i.e., starts a new walk or makes a new step), the probability to stop or to select an already visited point is between  $\frac{1}{t}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{t} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{m\lambda}{n}$ . Deduce that in each iteration, the probability that the random walk visits at least  $v$  new points is at least  $(1 - q)^v$ .

By using the Chernoff bound, we deduce that for  $v$  such that  $(1 - q)^v > \frac{1}{2}$ , more than half of the iterations visit less than  $v$  new points with a probability bounded by  $e^{-2\left((1 - q)^v - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 m}$ . In that case, the total number of visited points is at least  $\frac{mv}{2}$ , except with a probability bounded by this.

In what follows, we assume  $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{\lambda t} \rfloor$  and we take  $v = \lfloor \frac{t}{4} \rfloor$ .

**Q.7** By adjusting  $t$ , deduce that for each group generated by some  $G$  of order  $n$ , there *exists* an algorithm with precomputed data of size  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt[3]{n})$  and solving the discrete logarithm problem with time  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt[3]{n})$  multiplied by some polynomial in terms of  $\log n$ . (Here the big  $\mathcal{O}$ 's are when  $n$  tends towards infinity.)